



Course Evaluation Results: Constitutional Law

Dear Professor Blackman,

What follows are Spring 2014 course evaluation results for the above-referenced course. These results are based on the 48 evaluations returned, yielding a response rate of 60.76%.

At the top of page 1, the "Global Index" graph represents the combined average of all 11 evaluation questions. Underneath, the "Professor Evaluation" and "Course Evaluation" graphs represent the combined average of those question groups.

Next, the results for each individual question are displayed in a simple bar graph. To the right of each bar graph, more detailed data is displayed, as follows: number of responses to that question (n); the average response on a scale of 1 to 5 (av); the median score (md); and, the standard deviation (dev).

Finally, at the end of the report, you will find an unabridged scanned copy of all handwritten comments from your students, and a faculty "Profile" page which graphically displays your average score for each evaluation question.

Professor Josh Blackman

Spring 2014
 Constitutional Law (20016_201420)
 Number of responses: 48




Overall indicators

Global Index


1. Professor Evaluation

2. Course Evaluation




1. Professor Evaluation


1.1) The professor displayed knowledge and command of the subject matter.




1.2) The professor's organization of the course was effective.




1.3) The professor required or encouraged class participation.




1.4) The professor conducted class in a manner that required preparation.




1.5) The professor was enthusiastic about the subject matter.




1.6) The professor was well prepared for class.




1.7) The professor answered questions in a way that enhanced student understanding.





2. Course Evaluation



3. General Comments

3.1) Please provide any additional comments about the course or professor in the space below.

it ~~was~~ really wasn't a bad class it just was very history oriented and focused more on development of law than actual law
-Realley, not bad: 1000 word limit on final in search

Prof. Blackman is very knowledgeable on Con Law, but that doesn't mean he effectively teaches it to his student. Very hard to narrow the scope of what we are learning, way too much information crammed into classes. Recitation process is not effective for learning + super distracting. Would not recommend for Con Law I but maybe to teach an upper level Con Law course.

The class is a requirement.
Zealot for Con Law

Fantastic class! Prof Blackman should always teach con law.
He should be offered tenor.

He had immense knowledge of the subject but spent most of the class period teaching us random trivia facts that we would only need to know if we were ever contestants on Jeopardy.

Sometimes ~~ooooo~~ prof. Blackman's own personal political views came through too much.

Was a long class but enjoyable.

Great class. One thing go easier when people forget cases

Great professor, excellent use of technology, the live chat allowed comfort in asking questions. front back stories to cases.

Advice:
 Help more w/ guiding analysis of cases at the early part of the semester
 less facts more analysis tools.

I enjoy all the technology Blackman integrates. Some people however take advantage and never go to class / Just watch them all online.

Very enthusiastic about subject matter.

Ⓡ COULD TELL HE REALLY ENJOYED THE SUBJECT MATTER.

Very enjoyable course. Prof. Blackman made this extremely relative to every person's different social, religious, & familial background.
 IT WAS A GREAT CLASS.

Do whatever it takes to keep
Blackman at SITC for as long as possible.

GENIUS PROF!

One of the most enthusiastic & knowledgeable professors I
have ever had.

Great Professor!

Give Professor Blackman tenure!!

One of the most knowledgeable professors I know..

Very enthusiastic and knowledgeable!

Blackman demonstrated high knowledge of the course which made the class interesting

He was a good professor for the subject and provided a good insight.

We learned so much! can't believe he is a human. his knowledge is on a different level. Enjoyed it but my stress levels do not 😊 thank you!

Learning from Blackman was very difficult. I would not recommend him to another student.

Blackman is awesome and loves Conn Law. I think he is a great professor & I am so grateful I had him. Now I just have to do well on the test! :o

I would have appreciated if he left his politics at home. Debate is a good way of learning different viewpoints & there wasn't an opportunity for that here. His views were very strong & offput. Many students were afraid to speak up in class. And how about the 2nd Amendment?? Don't touch it. This is Texas & a big deal here. we wasted time on History.


Professor Blackman is very knowledgeable about the subject and kept it interesting. However a little more organization would have been appreciated - sometimes he was all over the place that made it difficult to outline or to ~~have~~ understand as to what the main points of the class. a bit more clarity would have been appreciated - his enthusiasm was excellent

Profile

Subunit: LAW
 Name of the instructor: Josh Blackman
 Name of the course: Constitutional Law
 (Name of the survey)
 Comparative line: All Req Crs (no LRW), Summer 2012 forward

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Professor Evaluation



2. Course Evaluation

