



## Course Evaluation Results: Property I

Dear Professor Blackman,

What follows are Spring 2013 course evaluation results for the above-referenced course. These results are based on the 58 evaluations returned, yielding a response rate of 70.73%.

At the top of page 1, the "Global Index" graph represents the combined average of all 11 evaluation questions. Underneath, the "Professor Evaluation" and "Course Evaluation" graphs represent the combined average of those question groups.

Next, the results for each individual question are displayed in a simple bar graph. To the right of each bar graph, more detailed data is displayed, as follows: number of responses to that question (n); the average response on a scale of 1 to 5 (av); the median score (md); and, the standard deviation (dev).

Finally, at the end of the report, you will find an unabridged scanned copy of all handwritten comments from your students, and a faculty "Profile" page which graphically displays your average score for each evaluation question.

# Professor Josh Blackman

Spring 2013  
 Property I (20022\_201320)  
 Number of responses: 58




## Overall indicators

### Global Index


1. Professor Evaluation

2. Course Evaluation




## 1. Professor Evaluation


1.1) The professor displayed knowledge and command of the subject matter.




1.2) The professor's organization of the course was effective.




1.3) The professor required or encouraged class participation.




1.4) The professor conducted class in a manner that required preparation.




1.5) The professor was enthusiastic about the subject matter.




1.6) The professor was well prepared for class.




1.7) The professor answered questions in a way that enhanced student understanding.





## 2. Course Evaluation



## 3. General Comments

3.1) Please provide any additional comments about the course or professor in the space below.

i dont think i learned anything. It was difficult to assess his knowledge with his method.

Professor Blackman did a great job. He was a very interesting professor and taught extremely effectively. I would strongly recommend him.

This was a good class. Not as informative as I would have liked. Consistent loss of concentration by prof during class. Message board has both good & bad attributes; can be distracting sometimes.

As a new professor, he is pretty good but sometimes does not know the material as well as one may prefer.

Message board is often a distraction full of jokes.

- I think it is hard to listen when the prof. has never practiced law.

- focusing on the facts of the case is not helpful

Professor tends to go off topic

Refreshing to have a professor that is "Jedi-davy".

I really enjoyed Professor Blackman's class. I would definitely take another class from him. He is very passionate about the material, and helped me be interested in what can be a difficult subject.

Great guy! But seems like he is way more excited about Property II and has forgotten some of the less used, but more difficult to comprehend, material. One question each doesn't require reading at all. You can guess

-he had a good understanding of the material. No doubt but his inexperience as a professor inhibited the value of the learning experience. He has a great deal of potential but has some kinks to work out. He enjoyed the use of technology in the class but it was occasional and distracting. I wish it was in a productive manner.

It was hard to follow.

Talked more about Con Law.  
Good guy but eh. not very effective.

Professor Blackman made the class very interesting & easy to understand.

sometimes he talked too fast!

PROF. BLACKMAN WAS VERY ENTHUSIASTIC & KNOWLEDGEABLE.  
I LIKED HIS USE OF TECHNOLOGY IN CLASS. I WOULD DEFINITELY TAKE ANOTHER CLASS FROM PROF. BLACKMAN

I LIKED THE WAY PROFESSOR BLACKMAN INCORPORATED LIVE CHAT / BLOG / SOCIAL MEDIA INTO LECTURES BUT SOMETIMES MORE OVERALL PP OR INFO TO REINFORCE CLASS MATERIAL WOULD HAVE BEEN HELPFUL. TERRIBLE TEXTBOOK.

Great prep. Posting blogs to youtube almost made it seem like you could skip class. His youth was awesome. Sometimes went on tangents but I didn't mind. Don't understand the <500 word limit.

PROF. BLACKMAN HAD GREAT ENERGY & ENTHUSIASM TEACHING THE COURSE. REALLY ENJOYED!

Good job

He is a smart guy - he just needs to refine his teaching method. Obviously very passionate about law - which is great

Ⓢ The professor could embrace students more in the office, almost seemed like he was just padding his resume.

"I'll get you next semester"

- podium

Don't put class lecture on YouTube; more students will show up.

I liked his use of technology, but if it wasn't all on YouTube people might actually show up!

It's great to have a professor bring technology into the classroom.

I really liked Professor Blackman but I wish that he had taken the time to learn our names! More personable

Too much technology

Extremely informative, promotes discussion, rewards hard work, + is very patient + helpful.



Thanks for a great and informative semester!

# Profile

Subunit: **LAW**  
 Name of the instructor: **Josh Blackman**  
 Name of the course: **Property I**  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

## 1. Professor Evaluation

1.1) The professor displayed knowledge and command of the subject matter.	Strongly Disagree							Strongly Agree	n=52	av.=4.13	md=4.00	dev.=0.89
1.2) The professor's organization of the course was effective.	Strongly Disagree							Strongly Agree	n=54	av.=3.96	md=4.00	dev.=1.08
1.3) The professor required or encouraged class participation.	Strongly Disagree							Strongly Agree	n=53	av.=4.64	md=5.00	dev.=0.71
1.4) The professor conducted class in a manner that required preparation.	Strongly Disagree							Strongly Agree	n=56	av.=4.27	md=5.00	dev.=0.96
1.5) The professor was enthusiastic about the subject matter.	Strongly Disagree							Strongly Agree	n=52	av.=4.54	md=5.00	dev.=0.80
1.6) The professor was well prepared for class.	Strongly Disagree							Strongly Agree	n=50	av.=4.46	md=5.00	dev.=0.89
1.7) The professor answered questions in a way that enhanced student	Strongly Disagree							Strongly Agree	n=51	av.=3.94	md=4.00	dev.=1.24
1.8) The professor was accessible to students outside of class.	Strongly Disagree							Strongly Agree	n=53	av.=4.15	md=5.00	dev.=1.05
1.9) Overall, the professor was effective in teaching this class.	Strongly Disagree							Strongly Agree	n=53	av.=3.92	md=4.00	dev.=1.16
1.10) I would recommend this professor to a fellow student.	Strongly Disagree							Strongly Agree	n=51	av.=4.02	md=4.00	dev.=1.24

## 2. Course Evaluation

2.1) I would recommend this course to a fellow student.	Strongly Disagree							Strongly Agree	n=54	av.=4.02	md=4.00	dev.=1.17
---------------------------------------------------------	-------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	----------------	------	----------	---------	-----------